

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/673,522	09/29/2003	Mark Bernard Hettish	2003P08061US 1651		
Siemens Corpo	7590 10/24/2007		EXAM	INER	
Attn: Elsa Keller, Legal Administrator			PADMANABHAN, KAVITA		
Intellectual Property Department 170 Wood Avenue South		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Iselin, NJ 08830			2161		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
•			10/24/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			σ
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>
Office Antique Occurrence	10/673,522	HETTISH, MARK BERNARD	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kavita Padmanabhan	2161	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	ne correspondence address	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS a. cause the application to become ABAND	ION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 A	ugust 2007.		
	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the merits is	;
closed in accordance with the practice under be	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-20 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 29 September 2003 is/s		jected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			l).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Of	fice Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	is have been received. Is have been received in Application of the second of the secon	cation No eived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	a.□	(DTO 440)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) 🔲 Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) Other: _____.

Application/Control Number: 10/673,522 Page 2

Art Unit: 2161

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

- 1. Claims 1 and 18-20 have been amended.
- 2. Claims 1-7 and 9-20 are pending.
- 3. Claims 1-7 and 9-20 are rejected.

Claim Objections

4. Claims 1-7 and 9-17 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

Claim 9 recites, "providing said data indicative of said identity context to an identity context oriented application", which is already included in the newly amended version of claim 1 from which claim 9 depends.

With respect to **claims 1-7 and 9-17**, it is respectfully requested that applicant amend the claims to recite "device *oriented* context" and "identity *oriented* context" where applicable to conform with the new amendments made to claim 1. For example, the last line of claim 1 should recite "said mapped identity *oriented* context" and is being interpreted as such.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 1-7 and 9-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Diacakis et al. (US 2002/0116336, hereinafter "Diacakis").

In regards to claim 1, Diacakis teaches a method, comprising:

- detecting a new device oriented context for a specific device associated with an identity (Diacakis; par [0034], lines 14-18; par [0035] - "when the P&A management server 12 detects that the individual is at work, the server 12 transmits the individual's updated P&A information to the clients 22 for the individual's boss and spouse"; par [0038]; par [0043]-par [0044] – "determine whether an individual is present on other devices such as, for example, a personal digital assistant (PDA) 50 or a pager 52"), wherein said new device oriented context provides an availability status of the specific device (Diacakis; par [0026] - "if a person is not near a landline telephone or wireless telephone, or the wireless telephone is switched off, then that person is not present on a telephone network, and thus unable to communicate with others on the telephone network. Similarly, if a person uses an instant messaging (IM) application at a given point in time, the person is present on that instant messaging network"; par [0045] -"determine the individual's current capabilities 58 such as, for example, whether he can receive voice information, data files, audio files, video files, etc."; par [0053]); and mapping said new device oriented context to an identity oriented context for said identity
- by associating the new device oriented context with said identity oriented context,

wherein said identity oriented context provides an availability status of said identity

(Diacakis; par [0056] – "For example, in FIG. 8 Alex is available by telephone and

instant messaging, but Tom is only available by telephone and Pete is only available by

instant messaging."; [0059]; Fig. 8 – identity oriented contexts may be for example,

"at home" or "at office" and device oriented contexts may be for example whether

the individual is available via phone, IM, etc.); and

providing data indicative of said mapped identity context to an identity context oriented application (Diacakis; par [0030]; par [0056]; par [0059]; Fig. 8).

In regards to **claim 2**, **Diacakis** teaches the method of claim 1, wherein said detecting said new device context for said device includes detecting said new device context in a presence and availability service (**Diacakis**; **Fig. 1**).

In regards to claim 3, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 1, wherein said detecting said new device context for said device includes receiving a request to change said device's device context (Diacakis; par [0034], lines 14-18).

In regards to claim 4, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 1, wherein said mapping said new device context to said identity context for said identity includes determining said identity (Diacakis; par [0056]; Fig. 8).

Application/Control Number: 10/673,522

Art Unit: 2161

In regards to claim 5, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 1, wherein said mapping said

Page 5

new device context to said identity context for said identity includes determining said identity

context (Diacakis; par [0056]; par [0059]; Fig. 8).

In regards to claim 6, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 1, further comprising:

- determining said identity (Diacakis; par [0056]; Fig. 8).

In regards to claim 7, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 1, further comprising:

- determining said identity context (Diacakis; par [0056]; par [0059]; Fig. 8).

In regards to claim 9, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 1, wherein said providing data indicative of said identity context includes providing said data indicative of said identity context to an identity context oriented application (Diacakis; par [0030]; par [0056]; par [0059]; Fig. 8).

In regards to claim 10, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 1, further comprising:

- receiving a request to make a change to a new identity context for an identity (Diacakis;
 par [0034], lines 14-18; par [0056]; par [0059]; Fig. 8); and
- mapping said new identity context to a device context for a device associated with said identity (Diacakis; par [0056]; par [0059]; Fig. 8).

In regards to claim 11, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 1, further comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/673,522

Art Unit: 2161

- receiving a request to make a change to a new identity context for a second identity

(Diacakis; par [0034], lines 14-18; par [0056]; par [0059]; Fig. 8); and

- mapping said new identity context to a device context for a device associated with said

second identity (Diacakis; par [0056]; par [0059]; Fig. 8).

In regards to claim 12, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 11, wherein said receiving said

request to make said change to a new identity context for said second identity includes receiving

said request from an identity context oriented application (Diacakis; par [0034], lines 14-18;

par [0036]).

In regards to claim 13, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 11, wherein said mapping said

new identity context to said device context for said device associated with said second identity

includes determining said device associated with said second identity (Diacakis; par [0056];

par [0059]; Fig. 8).

In regards to claim 14, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 13, wherein said mapping said

new identity context to said device context for said device associated with said second identity

includes determining said device context associated with said device associated with said second

identity (Diacakis; par [0056]; par [0059]; Fig. 8).

Page 6

In regards to **claim 15**, **Diacakis** teaches the method of claim 11, wherein said mapping said new identity context to said device context for said device associated with said second identity includes accessing a mapping table (**Diacakis**; **Fig. 2**; **Fig. 5**; **Fig. 8**).

In regards to claim 16, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 1, further comprising:

- providing data indicative of said device context to a presence and availability service (Diacakis; Fig. 1; par [0034], lines 14-18; par [0035], par [0038]; par [0043]-par [0044]; Fig. 8).

In regards to claim 17, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 11, further comprising:

changing an identity context for said second identity from a first identity context to a said new identity context in response to said request (Diacakis; par [0034], lines 14-18; par [0056]; par [0059]; Fig. 8).

Claims 18-20 are each rejected with the same rationale given for claim 1.

Response to Amendment

7. Applicant's amendments filed 8/10/07 with respect to the 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph rejections have been considered. The corresponding rejections have been withdrawn accordingly.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 8/10/07 with respect to the prior art rejections of the claims have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's arguments are substantially the same as those submitted in the response filed on 2/26/07. The applicant is respectfully directed to the Non-Final Office Action mailed on 5/14/07, in which these arguments have been addressed, and also to the stated rejections above.

Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Kavita Padmanabhan** whose telephone number is **571-272-8352**. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00am-5:30pm.

Art Unit: 2161

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Apu Mofiz can be reached on 571-272-4080. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kavita Padmanabhan Assistant Examiner AU 2161

October 21, 2007

W.

APU MOFIZ

APU MOFIZ

AMINER